



TETRA TECH

10306 Eaton Place, Suite 340
Fairfax, VA 22030
Telephone (703) 385-6000
Fax (703) 385-6007

MEMORANDUM

To: Merritt Burke (Town of Bridgeville)

From: Eugenia Hart and Kimberly Brewer

Cc: Jennifer Walls (DNREC); Bryan Hall (DNREC); Jennifer Volk (DNREC)

Date: January 24, 2012

Subject: Review of the Town of Bridgeville's Local Land Use Ordinances to Identify Barriers to Implementing the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Implementation Plan

1 Introduction

Delaware has developed a Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) to accompany the Chesapeake Bay nutrient and sediment total maximum daily loads (TMDLs). The WIP lays out plans for addressing existing pollutant load to the Bay as well as new pollutant loads from future land use changes. Delaware's Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) has tasked Tetra Tech with assisting local Delaware municipalities in the Chesapeake Bay watershed to conduct a review of existing local municipal ordinances with regulations pertaining to new development.

The goal of the ordinance review is to provide a service to local governments in Delaware by reviewing existing land use ordinances to look for barriers to implementing the Chesapeake Bay WIP as well as identify potential opportunities for improving communities and allowing more techniques to be used to help property owners address nutrient and sediment loads from new developments. These include techniques such as green infrastructure, low impact development, conservation design, and performance standards that can allow flexibility. There are often unintended barriers to these techniques in local ordinances.

This memorandum presents the results of Tetra Tech's review of these local ordinances for the Town of Bridgeville, DE. Note that recommendations for consideration of code revisions are not mandatory. They are intended only to provide more flexibility and effectiveness in meeting resource protection goals and regulations.

2 Approach

In August 2011 Tetra Tech contacted the Town of Bridgeville to obtain the most recent versions of the following types of ordinances, if available:

- Subdivision ordinance

- Zoning ordinance
- Sedimentation and erosion control ordinance or regulations
- Onsite wastewater ordinance or regulations

Bridgeville staff provided their subdivision and zoning ordinances for review. The Town's Land Use and Development Ordinance requires applicants to adhere to the Sussex County Conservation District's (SCD) stormwater, sedimentation, and erosion control program. Note that SCD does not have their own stormwater, sedimentation, and erosion control standards, but adheres to Delaware's State Sediment and Stormwater Regulations (see section 3.1 below). Bridgeville does not have specific on-site wastewater regulations as all new developments hook to public water and sewer.

Once the ordinances were obtained, they were reviewed based on the Ordinance Review Checklist developed by Tetra Tech (Appendix A). The checklist contains items related to the following seven goals:

1. Minimize Effective or Connected Impervious Area
2. Preserve and Enhance the Hydrologic Function of Unpaved Areas
3. Harvest Rainwater
4. Allow and Encourage Multi-Use Stormwater Controls
5. Manage Stormwater to Meet WIP and DNREC Regulations
6. Manage Construction Site Stormwater to Meet WIP and DNREC Regulations
7. Manage On-Site Wastewater Systems to Meet WIP and DNREC Regulations

The Town of Bridgeville staff reviewed the draft memo, and recommended revisions and corrections as needed.

Barriers to each of the items on the Checklist are summarized below in Section 3 along with potential solutions to overcome these barriers.

3 Summary of Barriers and Potential Solutions for Implementation

This section of the memo provides a summary of the barriers identified for each of the seven goals indicated on the Ordinance Review Checklist (Appendix A). Following the summary of each barrier, potential solutions are provided.

3.1 GOAL #1: MINIMIZE EFFECTIVE OR CONNECTED IMPERVIOUS AREA

Mitigation of Runoff from Effective Impervious Area

DNREC's final draft stormwater regulations (DNREC 2011) require that local regulations and standards mitigate stormwater runoff such that there is no direct contribution of stormwater runoff from a development. Bridgeville does not have an ordinance that directly addresses this issue; however, Bridgeville currently follows the Sussex Conservation District's Sediment Control and Stormwater Management Program. SCD follows the State of Delaware's Sediment and Stormwater Regulations as a delegated agency. It is suggested that Bridgeville reference State of Delaware Sediment and Stormwater Regulations in its Land Use and Development Ordinance.

Flexibility in Locating BMP Techniques On-site

The location of low impact development (LID) best management practices (BMPs) in street and parking areas (e.g., parking medians, grass strips between sidewalk and curb, swales, etc.) is for the most part not explicitly prohibited or allowed in the ordinance. Lack of certainty about approval of these techniques can

pose a barrier to implementation. It appears that height and setback limitations for landscaping at intersections would directly prohibit the use of LID techniques such as curb bumpouts/extensions with bioretention or bioswales.

Clustering and Open Space Development Design

The current Land Use and Development Ordinance does not include open space design or cluster development districts. Open space design and cluster development districts allow environmentally-sensitive use of land by clustering houses on less environmentally sensitive areas while preserving interconnected green space as habitat areas, natural stormwater management, and recreation areas. This is achieved through allowing more flexibility on minimum lot size, setbacks, yards, placement of open space, etc.

Street and Right-of-Way Widths

Bridgeville's current Construction Standards and Specifications do not allow minor streets to have narrow street pavement and travel lane widths to help minimize impervious area. In addition to the environmental benefits of narrowing streets, some studies have found that narrow residential streets are safer than wide streets (Center for Watershed Protection 1998).

In the last decade, numerous neo-traditional developments have been built using techniques to narrow street and right of way width. Techniques such as curb pullouts, staggered pull-out parking areas (rather than continuous lanes), or having a parking lane on one side of the street only have been employed. These developments have been able to meet the needs of access for service and emergency vehicles as well as on-street parking while also using narrower streets and public right-of-ways than do conventional subdivisions. That said, it should be recognized that concerns about these components of LID—narrow streets and right-of-ways—make it challenging to implement. In each community, moving forward requires a great deal of discussion and problem solving with staff from the fire department, public works, engineering, and other potentially affected departments in order to address concerns and develop mutually supported ordinance and code revisions. Note that Delaware State Fire Prevention Regulations do not appear to regulate street widths in residential developments, but do provide turn-around and cul-de-sac standards that allow for fire apparatus access.

Minimum Parking Requirements

Current Land Use and Development regulations establish a high minimum parking space requirement and requires overly large parking stalls and drive aisles. Together these minimum parking requirements can unnecessarily increase the overall imperviousness of the development site. Some communities allow a smaller number of parking spaces per square feet of floor area in the development, as well as allow smaller stalls and aisles (e.g., stall width of 9 feet, minimum stall length to 15 feet, and minimum drive aisle width of 22 feet). These standards minimize paved area, provide adequate parking space, and reduce development costs.

Incentives for Infill Development and Redevelopment of Existing Areas over "Greenfield" Development

DNREC's draft sediment and stormwater regulations require that local regulations and standards impose less stringent runoff reduction requirements for proposed development in existing developed areas, thus providing incentives to develop in these areas rather than undeveloped or "greenfield" areas. Local land use and development requirements will need to be amended accordingly.

3.2 GOAL #2: PRESERVE AND ENHANCE THE HYDROLOGIC FUNCTION OF UNPAVED AREAS

Encouraging or Requiring Stream Buffers

The Phase I WIP calls for local regulations and standards that encourage or require buffers of 60 feet for secondary waterbodies and 100 feet for primary waterbodies for new development proposals. These buffers can be reduced if combined with other pollution reduction actions. The town's current Land Use and Development Ordinance does not require such riparian buffers and should be amended to address buffers and the preservation of streamside areas in natural vegetation.

Minimizing Disturbance in Environmentally Sensitive Areas

It appears that the local regulations currently allow disturbance of riparian areas, erodible soils, steep slopes, and areas of high soil infiltration. LID uses these landscape features as a part of natural stormwater management and seeks to minimize their disturbance during and after construction. Local land use and development requirements should be amended to address the protection of environmentally sensitive areas.

3.3 GOAL #3: HARVEST RAINWATER

Explicitly Allowing or Encouraging Rainwater Harvest

Rainwater harvesting is not explicitly prohibited or allowed in the ordinances. However, lack of certainty about approval of these techniques can pose a barrier to implementation.

3.4 GOAL #4: ALLOW AND ENCOURAGE MULTI-USE STORMWATER CONTROLS

Flexibility in Locating LID Techniques in Designated Landscape and Open Space Areas

It appears that LID techniques such as bioretention, bioswales, constructed wetlands, etc. are not allowed in required landscape, screening, and open space areas. Where these BMPs can be designed to support landscape and open space functions, flexibility should be provided in locating these BMPs where they can be most effective in managing water quality, drainage, and flooding impacts. Explicit exemptions, as-of-right use, or even incentives, should be made for the use of vegetative LID techniques in screening, buffer, and landscape areas. Additionally, consideration should be given allowing bioretention and bioswales in the right-of-way way area typically used as a grassed strip.

Credit for LID Techniques that Provide Landscape and Natural Open Space Functions

LID BMPs are not given credit by the Town as part of the required open space or landscaping area. Explicitly providing such credit would provide an incentive for developers to use LID techniques.

Landscaping and Grass Control

Certain landscape and grass control requirements could limit the use of bioretention, bioswales, and other LID techniques. One such requirement is the Brush, Grass, and Weed Ordinance, which requires that no weeds, grass, or other ground cover vegetation shall exceed a height of 8" at any time. Explicit exemptions should be made for bioretention, bioswale, and similar LID techniques properly designed.

3.5 GOAL #5: MANAGE STORMWATER TO MEET WIP AND DNREC REGULATIONS

Meeting New DNREC Stormwater Regulations

DNREC's final draft sediment and stormwater regulations (June 2011) require new minimum water quality, channel protection, and conveyance and flood control requirements for new development and redevelopment. As stated earlier, it is suggested that Bridgeville reference the State of Delaware Sediment and Stormwater Regulations in the Land Use and Development Ordinance.

Off-site Mitigation

There are no provisions in the ordinance for off-site mitigation when on-site management does not meet the performance criteria. DNREC is in the final phases of developing an offset tool called the *Nutrient and Sediment Loading Assessment Protocol*. The tool allows developers to determine whether or not a proposed development plan meets the TMDL requirements for a particular watershed. This is a useful tool in determining whether or not a proposed development meets the TMDL or will need additional on-site management or off-site mitigation. Bridgeville may want to consider requiring developers to use this tool during the planning process before presenting the site plan to the planning and zoning commission for review.

3.6 GOAL #6: MANAGE CONSTRUCTION SITE STORMWATER TO MEET WIP AND DNREC REGULATIONS

Meeting New DNREC Sediment and Erosion Control Regulations

DNREC's final draft sediment and stormwater regulations (June 2011) require construction site stormwater management controls to be put in place for all construction activities exceeding 5,000 square feet of disturbance. As stated earlier, it is suggested that Bridgeville reference the State of Delaware Sediment and Stormwater Regulations in the Land Use and Development Ordinance.

3.7 GOAL #7: MANAGE ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEMS TO MEET WIP AND DNREC REGULATIONS

Bridgeville does not have specific on-site wastewater regulations as all new developments are connected to public water and sewer.

4 Accounting and Tracking of BMP Implementation

Bridgeville would consider tracking the implementation of BMPs in the town; however, before committing to this task they would like to be provided with additional information from DNREC on what it would entail.

It is suggested that DNREC provide the Town of Bridgeville with a list of BMPs approved for use in the Chesapeake Bay watershed TMDL model and request that Bridgeville notify DNREC if any of these types of BMPs are installed in the town so they can be appropriately credited in the Bay model.

5 Conclusions

Review of Bridgeville's land use ordinances resulted in several key findings. The first finding is that the Town of Bridgeville's Land Use and Development Ordinance has a number of strong features including, but not limited to:

- the Residential Planned Community Zone provides for mixed use development that requires 1/3 of the area of the residential development to be open space for a passive recreational area
- Recreational areas that are wooded must remain wooded except for walking, biking, and jogging trails.

There are some direct barriers to the use of LID techniques, such as specifications for shade trees along dedicated streets, and specifications and allowances for required screening, landscape and open space areas. To allow or encourage the use of LID techniques, the Town would need to explicitly exempt these techniques or allow them as-of-right in these areas. In other cases, there are barriers of omission: by not expressly allowing the LID technique or providing for exemptions, the ordinances generate uncertainty regarding approval and thus unintentionally provide disincentives for some LID practices.

The Town does not have an ordinance that directly addresses sediment and stormwater management. Rather, Bridgeville's Land Use and Development Ordinance requires applicants to follow the provisions and requirements contained in the Sussex Conservation District's Sediment Control and Stormwater Management Program. Considering the new federal stormwater regulations due out in late 2011 and new state stormwater regulations due in 2012, it is suggested that the Town of Bridgeville reference the State of Delaware Sediment and Stormwater Regulations in the subdivision ordinance, and the agency implementing the regulations (DNREC or its Delegated Agency).

Reducing impervious area in new developments can be an important part of stormwater management. Bridgeville may want to consider allowing narrower street and right-of-way widths in all new developments, while employing techniques such as curb pullouts and staggered pull-out parking areas to meet the needs of service and emergency vehicles as well as on-street parking. Bridgeville might also want to consider allowing a smaller number of parking spaces as well as smaller parking stalls and aisles in new multi-family and commercial developments.

Finally, Bridgeville might want to consider adopting DNREC's *Nutrient and Sediment Loading Assessment Protocol* as part of their Land Use and Development Ordinance. The tool can help developers determine whether or not a proposed development plan meets the TMDL requirements for a particular watershed. The tool can help to quantify the number and types of BMPs necessary on a new development site to meet the TMDL requirements.

References

Bridgeville Brush, Grass, and Weeds Ordinance

Bridgeville Construction Standards and Specifications for Water, Sewer, and Streets

Bridgeville Land Use and Development Ordinance

Center for Watershed Protection (CWP). 1998. *Better Site Design: A Handbook for Changing Development Rules in Your Community*.

DNREC (Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control). 2011. *Final Draft Sediment and Stormwater Regulations*. June 2011.

Delaware Department of Transportation (DelDOT). 2010. *Standards and Regulations for Subdivision Streets and State Highway Access*. Dover, DE.

Delaware State Fire Marshall. 2009. *Delaware State Fire Prevention Regulations*

Sussex Conservation District. 2011. *Sediment and Stormwater Management Checklist*
<http://sussexconservation.org/> Accessed August 2011.

Appendices

Appendix A. Ordinance Review Checklist

(This page left intentionally blank.)

Appendix A. Ordinance Review Checklist

(This page left intentionally blank.)

Local Development Ordinance Tools/Techniques for Implementing Delaware's Chesapeake Bay Watershed Implementation Plan & DNREC Final Draft Sediment and Stormwater Regulations

Town of Bridgeville Ordinance Review Checklist

Town of Bridgeville Ordinances Reviewed

Land Use and Development

Brush, Grass, and Weeds

Construction Standards and Specifications for Water, Sewer, and Streets

[Note: The following Ordinance Checklist is based on Delaware's Phase I Chesapeake Bay Watershed Implementation Plan (November 2010); Final Draft Sediment and Stormwater Regulations (DNREC, June 2011); Protecting Water Quality with Smart Growth Strategies and Natural Stormwater Management in Sussex County (January, 2009); Better Site Design: A Handbook for Changing Development Rules in Your Community (CWP, 1998); Low-Impact Development Design Strategies, An Integrated Approach (USEPA, 2000); and Tetra Tech's review of numerous local ordinances to identify opportunities and barriers to green infrastructure and low impact development techniques].

Degree of Importance Key to Symbols:

- Essential
- ◐ Very important
- Important

GOAL #1: MINIMIZE EFFECTIVE OR CONNECTED IMPERVIOUS AREA

- Objective: Minimize impervious area associated with streets.
- Objective: Minimize impervious area associated with parking.
- Objective: Minimize impervious area associated with driveways and sidewalks.
- Objective: Clustering development.
- Objective: Incorporate sustainable hydrology practices into urban redevelopment.

GOAL #1 KEY QUESTIONS	DEGREE OF IMPORTANCE	REQUIRED BY DNREC	COMMENTS
Overall Effective Impervious Area			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is impervious area required to be controlled such that there is no direct contribution of stormwater runoff (i.e., the equivalent of 0% effective impervious area)? 	●	√	<p>Note: DNREC’s Final Draft stormwater regulations require that after runoff reduction practices have been implemented on disturbed area, the site’s impervious area shall not directly contribute stormwater runoff during a rain event that has an 99% annual probability of occurring. See Goal # 5 performance criteria.</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: No</p>
Streets (Note: Also check Fire Marshal’s Code to see how it impacts the following goals)			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For residential development, are the street pavement widths allowed to be between 18 to 22 feet, with curb pullouts for passing of large vehicles? 	●		<p>Ordinance Findings: No</p> <p>Construction Standards and Specifications for Streets require pavement width for Minor Streets and Residential Planned Community Streets to be 25’9”, and 25’5” respectively.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are travel lanes allowed to be from 12 to 10 feet (or less), with curb pullouts for passing of large vehicles? 	●		<p>Ordinance Findings: No</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are curb bumpouts/extensions allowed near intersections and mid-block for traffic-calming and bioretention opportunities? 	◐		<p>Ordinance Findings: Limited opportunity</p> <p>Land Use and Development 234, Article XII, § 234.52</p> <p>At street intersections, nothing</p>

			shall be planted or allowed to grow higher than 2 ½ feet within the sight triangle measured along the right-of-way line above the curb level of the intersecting street for a distance of 20 feet from the intersection...
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is pervious paving allowed for on-street parking and alleyways? 	○		Ordinance Findings: No Concrete and bituminous surface is required per the Town's construction standards and specifications.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are grass swales or bioretention swales allowed instead of curb and gutter or with curb cuts (where slopes allow)? 	◐		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited. Potential barrier: The Brush, Grass and Weeds Ordinance Chapter 90, § 90.2 establishes a maximum height states that no weeds, grass or ground cover vegetation shall exceed 8" at any time.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If there are cul-de-sacs, is the radius required to be 35 feet or less? 	○		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited. 2009 Fire Code: No. Requires minimum paved radius of 38 ft. on dead end roads >300 ft in length.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If there are cul-de-sacs, are landscaped islands or bioretention islands allowed or encouraged? 	○		Ordinance Findings: No 2009 Fire Code: No. Requires minimum paved radius of 38 ft. on dead end roads >300 ft in length.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are site designs required to promote the most efficient street layout to reduce overall street length? 	○		Ordinance Findings: No
Parking			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the minimum stall width for a standard parking space 9 ft. or less? 	●		Ordinance Findings: No Land Use and Development 234 Article XIX

			§151 234-87(E)(1)(a). 10 ft width required
• Are parking stall lengths allowed to be 15 ft.?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No Land Use and Development 234 Article XIX §151 234-87(E)(1)(a). 20 ft length required
• Are parking lot drive aisles allowed to be 22 ft.?	<input type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No Land Use and Development 234 Article XIX §151 234-87(E)(2) 25 ft width required
• Are bioretention cells allowed in parking medians?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.
• Are consolidated travel lanes and on-street parking allowed to create space for bioretention?	<input type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.
• Are pervious surfaces such as paver stones, porous pavement, or grass pavers allowed for on-street parking?	<input type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No Concrete and bituminous surface is required per the Town's construction standards and specifications.
• For office buildings, is the required parking ratio 3.0 spaces per 1,000 sq.ft. of gross floor area or less?	<input type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No Land Use and Development 234 Article XIX §151 234-87(B) 1 space per 200 sq.ft. of floor area
• For commercial centers, is the required parking ratio 2 to 4.5 spaces per 1,000 sq.ft. of gross floor area or less?	<input type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No Land Use and Development 234 Article XIX §151 234-87(B) 1 space per 200 sq.ft. of floor area, plus 1 for each 2 employees on the largest shift
• Are proposed developments allowed to take advantage of opportunities for shared parking?	<input type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: Yes
• Are proposed developments allowed to have parking stalls under the second floor podium?	<input type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.
Driveways/Sidewalks			
• Are driveway standards 9 feet or less in width?	<input type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: Not addressed

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are shared driveways allowed? 	○		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If sidewalks are required, are they required to be designed to the narrowest allowable width (e.g, 4 ft.)? 	○		Ordinance Findings: No Construction Standards and Specifications for Sidewalks require 5 ft. in width
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are sidewalks allowed to be on one side of the street only? 	○		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.
Clustering Development			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is redevelopment encouraged in lieu of greenfield development through site performance standards? 	●	√	Note: DNREC's Final Draft stormwater regulations recognize the benefit of redevelopment, and have less stringent performance standards for proposed development in existing developed areas. See Goal # 5 performance criteria. Ordinance Findings: No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is Conservation or Open Space Design an option? 	●		Ordinance Findings: No However, the Land Use and Development 234 Article VIII Residential Planned Community Zone provides for mixed use development. §234-37(D)(3) requires 1/3 of the area of the residential development to be open pace for passive recreational area
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To encourage clustering and open space design, are setbacks minimized (e.g., for residential lots that are 1/2-acre or less in size, is the front set back 20 feet or less, the rear setback 25 feet or less, and the side setback 8 feet or less?) 	●		Ordinance Findings: No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are site designs required to have development focused on areas of lesser slopes and farther from watercourses? 	◐		Ordinance Findings: No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are policies effective in encouraging higher density development to be centered around transportation corridors? 	○		Note that it is important for towns to allow density bonuses for protecting certain features, preserving open space, and limiting sprawl. Ordinance Findings: No

GOAL #2: PRESERVE AND ENHANCE THE HYDROLOGIC FUNCTION OF UNPAVED AREAS

Objective: Minimize building footprint/envelope area.

Objective: Preserve topsoil structure.

Objective: Preserve sensitive wetlands.

Objective: Preserve sensitive soils.

Objective: Preserve sensitive stream buffers.

GOAL #2 KEY QUESTIONS	DEGREE OF IMPORTANCE	REQUIRED BY DNREC	COMMENTS
Topsoil Structure & Building Footprint			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is disturbance of vegetated areas required to be phased? 	●	√	Note: DNREC's performance criteria for construction site management include limits on site disturbance. See Goal #6 performance criteria. Ordinance Findings: Yes Conservation District Checklist
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is disturbance of vegetated areas and riparian areas required to be minimized? 	◐		Ordinance Findings: No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are building envelopes required/encouraged to avoid sensitive environmental areas such as riparian areas, wetlands, high infiltration soils, and steep slopes? 	◐		Ordinance Findings: No
Wetlands			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are site designs required to minimize hydrologic alteration to existing wetlands? 	●	√	Note: there are state regulations prohibiting the disturbance of certain wetlands.
Sensitive Soils			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are building footprints required/encouraged to avoid highly erodible soils? 	◐		Ordinance Findings: No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are building footprints required/encouraged to avoid soils with high permeability (e.g., Hydrologic Soil Group A and B)? 	◐		Ordinance Findings: No
Stream Buffers			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is a 60- to 100-foot stream buffer required/encouraged for new development? 	●		Note: The Phase I WIP recommends 100 ft for primary waters and 60 ft for secondary waters; can be reduced if

			combined with other pollution reduction actions. This buffer recommendation is applicable to those areas where buffers are voluntary (i.e., not the Dept. of Ag's 30 ft buffer or CAFO buffers) Ordinance Findings: No
• Are stream buffers for new development required to remain in a natural state?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No
• Are site designs required to preserve existing runoff pathways to adequately support existing wetlands?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No
• Is a 50-foot wetland buffer required/encouraged?	<input type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No

GOAL #3: HAVEST RAINWATER

Objective: Allow rainwater harvesting through plumbing code provisions.

Objective: Allow the use of downspout disconnection/redirection, rain barrels, and above-and below-ground cisterns for rainwater harvesting

GOAL #3 KEY QUESTIONS	DEGREE OF IMPORTANCE	REQUIRED BY DNREC	COMMENTS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can downspouts be disconnected or redirected to discharge into a rain barrel or yard? 	◐		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are interior or exterior cisterns allowed? 	◐		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can rain barrels be placed within standard zoning setback areas? 	◐		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do zoning and building provisions allow cisterns to be placed on rooftops to harvest rainwater? 	◐		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is harvested rainwater allowed to be used for nonpotable interior uses such as toilet flushing? 	○		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.

GOAL #4: ALLOW AND ENCOURAGE MULTI-USE STORMWATER CONTROLS

Objective: Allow and encourage stormwater controls as multiple use in open space areas.

Objective: Allow and encourage stormwater controls as multiple use in landscaped areas.

GOAL #4 KEY QUESTIONS	DEGREE OF IMPORTANCE	REQUIRED BY DNREC	COMMENTS
Landscaped Areas			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are bioretention areas allowed to be constructed in the development’s designated landscape areas, if properly designed? 	●		<p>Ordinance Findings: No Location of bioretention is not included in the ordinances Brush, Grass, and Weed Ordinance Chapter 96 § 90-2 No weeds, grass, or other ground cover vegetation shall exceed a height of 8” at any time.</p> <p>The Conservation District’s Sediment and Stormwater checklist expressly prohibits infiltration practices from being located within 20 feet of basement walls.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are bioretention areas given “credit” as landscape area to count as a percent of the required landscaping? 	●		<p>Ordinance Findings: No Location of bioretention is not included in the Land Use and Development Ordinance</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do landscaping requirements allow plantings conducive to bioretention, bioswales, raingardens , and other LID BMPs? 	●		<p>Ordinance Findings: No See planting and vegetation notes above</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do tree planting requirements allow use of raingardens, tree boxes, and other LID BMPs? 	●		<p>Ordinance Findings: No Location of bioretention and other LID BMPs is not included in the Land Use and Development ordinance</p>
Open Space Areas			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are there open space preservation requirements or incentives? 	◐		<p>Ordinance Findings: No The Land Use and Development Ordinance 234, Article XX Recreation, Open Space, Screening Shade § 234-89(B) Does require a percentage of</p>

			the tract to be dedicated as park and recreation area, however from it is small percentage of the site except for high density development (e.g. 100 units per acre or greater). Moreover, the land dedicated can be in active recreation unless it is currently wooded.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is preserved open space required to be managed in a natural condition? 	●		Ordinance Findings: No Except as noted above, dedicated recreation area which is wooded must remain wooded except for walking, biking, and jogging trails
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are structural LID techniques such as constructed wetlands, swales, and bioretention areas allowed to be constructed in a development's designated open space, if properly designed? 	●		Ordinance Findings: No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are structural LID techniques such as constructed wetlands, swales, and bioretention areas given "credit" as open space to count as a percent of the required open space area, if properly designed? 	●		Ordinance Findings: No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does protection of sensitive, natural areas and habitat qualify as credit for local open space dedication? 	●		Ordinance Findings: Not expressly allowed or prohibited.

GOAL #5: MANAGE STORMWATER TO MEET WATERSHED IMPLEMENTATION PLAN & DNREC STORMWATER REGULATIONS

- Objective: Meet DNREC stormwater regulations
- Objective: Replicate the predevelopment hydrology of the site, to the extent practicable.
- Objective: Maintain water quality functions of the watershed.
- Objective: Minimize channel erosion impacts.
- Objective: Minimize flooding impacts.
- Objective: Inspect BMPs to ensure proper construction and design.
- Objective: Long-term maintenance.

GOAL #5 KEY QUESTIONS	DEGREE OF IMPORTANCE	REQUIRED BY DNREC	COMMENTS
Performance Standards (note: Stormwater Ordinance Provisions will be needed for the following DNREC regulations.)			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is stormwater required to be retained/infiltrated onsite (through bioretention, natural areas, and swale infiltration) where possible? 	●	√	<p>Ordinance Findings: No</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DNREC Resource Protection Criteria 	●	√	<p>Note: For the 1-yr, 24 hour rainfall event, meet the following standards: Predevelopment wood/meadow – runoff reduction practices will yield the equivalent wooded condition for these areas. All remaining disturbed area shall achieve the equivalent of 0% effective imperviousness. The remaining discharge, if any, shall not exceed the 24-hour detention of the 1yr, 24 hr storm and an offsite offset is required for the portion of the storm not meeting the minimum requirements. Additional water quality treatment BMPs shall be required if runoff reduced is not sufficient to meet the TMDL.</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: No The Conservation District’s Sediment and Stormwater checklist SM 11 requires wet ponds to be designed to release the quality of stormwater runoff over a 24 hour period. Practices that have a permanent pool are to be designed to release the first inch of runoff</p>

			from the site over a 24 hour period. SM 12 requires infiltration practices to accept, at least, the first inch of runoff from all streets, roadways, and parking lots.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DNREC Conveyance Criteria for Channel Protection 	●	√	<p>Note: Conveyance Volume is the 10 yr, 24 hr rainfall event (or the volume of runoff produced by the post-development storm having a 10% chance of occurring)- less any volume reduction achieved through meeting the Resource Protection Criteria.</p> <p>Use runoff reduction and management measures, or show no adverse impact.</p> <p>Projects qualifying for and meeting standard plan criteria developed by the state will be considered in compliance.</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: Yes (it appears that the Conservation District standard below could meet this requirement.)</p> <p>The Conservation District's Sediment and Stormwater checklist SM 10 states that the pos-development peak rates of discharge for the 2- and 10-year storm events shall not exceed the predevelopment peak rates of discharge for the 2- and 10- year storm events.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DNREC Flood Event Criteria 	●	√	<p>Volume of runoff produced by 100 yr, 24 hr rainfall event (or post-development storm having a 1% probability of occurrence).</p> <p>Use runoff reduction and management measures, or show no adverse impact.</p> <p>Projects qualifying for and meeting standard plan criteria developed by the state will be considered in compliance.</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: Not addressed in ordinances and standards reviewed.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alternative Criteria 	●	√	Land development that discharges to state waters included in a Designated Watershed or other watershed

			management plan approved in accordance with DNREC stormwater regulations shall meet alternative criteria in the approved plan.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelopment Criteria 	●	√	<p>Recognizing the importance of redevelopment over greenfield development, redevelopment areas are required to only achieve a 50% reduction in effective impervious area based on existing conditions. Only the <u>increases</u> in the rate, volume, and duration of flow for the channel volume and flooding volume will need to comply with the DNREC stormwater regulations.</p> <p>A brownfield development remediation plan may meet the stormwater goals and intent of the DNREC stormwater regulations if approved by DNREC.</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: No</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exemptions 	●	√	<p>Agricultural land having a soil and water conservation plan</p> <p>Developments or construction disturbing less than 5,000 sq.ft.. Individual disturbances of less than 5,000 sq.ft. that accumulate to exceed 5,000 sq.ft. are not exempt.</p> <p>Commercial forest harvesting that meets DOA requirements.</p> <p>Permitted land application of biosolids and residuals.</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: Yes</p> <p>Conservation District Program</p>
Inspections			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are inspections required during construction and routinely after construction? 	●	√	<p>Ordinance Findings: Yes</p> <p>Conservation District Checklist</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are inspectors required to be trained and certified? 	●	√	<p>Note: DNREC requires a Certified Construction Reviewer</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: Yes</p> <p>Conservation District Checklist</p>
Maintenance			

• Are maintenance agreements required?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	√	Ordinance Findings: Yes Conservation District Checklist
• Is maintenance required to be performed by a certified professional?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	√	Ordinance Findings: No
Off-Site Mitigation			
• Is offsite mitigation required when on-site management does not meet the performance criteria (unless there is proof of no adverse impact)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No
• Is the DE Nutrient Protocol program required to calculate offsets?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No
• Is offsite mitigation for forested area conservation allowed in the same named watershed? Is the replacement ratio at least 1:1?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No
• Is offsite mitigation for riparian area conservation allowed in the same named watershed? Is the replacement ratio at least 1:1?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No
• Is offsite mitigation for BMP retrofit allowed in the same named watershed?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No
• Is nutrient banking or the equivalent land banking allowed in the same named watershed? Is redevelopment encouraged in lieu of greenfield development?	<input type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No
Local Stormwater Utility Ordinance			
• Does an ordinance exist to support the development of a local stormwater utility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		Ordinance Findings: No

GOAL #6: MANAGE CONSTRUCTION SITE STORMWATER TO MEET WATERSHED IMPROVEMENT PLAN & DNREC REGULATIONS

Objective: Meet DNREC stormwater regulations

Objective: Minimize erosion and sedimentation and delivery of nonpoint source pollutants during construction activities.

Objective: Inspect BMPs ensure proper construction and design.

Objective: Maintain BMPs.

GOAL #6 KEY QUESTIONS	DEGREE OF IMPORTANCE	REQUIRED BY DNREC	COMMENTS
Performance Standards (note: Sedimentation and Erosion Control provisions will be needed for the following DNREC regulations.)			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DNREC Turbidity Stormwater Management 	●	√	Best Available Technology shall be employed to manage turbid waters in accordance with state regulations. Ordinance Findings: No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DNREC requirement of Sediment and Stormwater Management Plans 	●	√	Ordinance Findings: Yes Conservation District Checklist
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DNREC Land Disturbance Criteria 	●	√	Use of standard details for DE Erosion and Sedimentation Control Handbook-limited to sites where no more than 10 acres draining to a common discharge point will be disturbed at any one time. Ordinance Findings: No Construction site BMPs intended to manage areas greater than 10 acres shall have supporting design computations. Ordinance Findings: No In no case shall an area of disturbance draining to a common point exceed 20 acres. Grading within that drainage area shall not proceed unless temporary or permanent stabilization has been accomplished. All plans shall include a limit of disturbance line. Ordinance Findings: Yes Conservation District Checklist

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DNREC Site Stabilization Criteria 	<p>●</p>	<p>√</p>	<p>Following soil disturbance or redisturbance, permanent or temporary stabilization shall be completed for all perimeter sediment controls, topsoil stockpiles, or other graded/disturbed areas within 14 calendar days unless a more restrictive federal requirement applies.</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: Yes Conservation District Checklist</p> <p>Documentation of soil testing and materials used for stabilization shall be provided for verification.</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: Not addressed</p> <p>Release of financial guarantee and or issuance of Notice of Completion shall not occur until final stabilization of all exposed areas is achieved.</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: Yes Conservation District Checklist</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exemptions 	<p>●</p>	<p>√</p>	<p>Agricultural having a soil and water conservation plan</p> <p>Developments or construction disturbing less than 5,000 sq.ft.. Individual disturbances of less than 5,000 sq.ft. that accumulate to exceed 5,000 sq.ft. are not exempt.</p> <p>Commercial forest harvesting that meet DOA requirements</p> <p>Permitted land application of biosolids and residuals.</p> <p>Ordinance Findings: Yes Conservation District Checklist</p>
<p>Inspections</p>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are weekly inspections required during construction? 	<p>●</p>	<p>√</p>	<p>Ordinance Findings: Yes Conservation District Checklist</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are inspectors required to be trained and certified? 	●	√	<p>Ordinance Findings: Yes Conservation District Checklist</p>
<p>Maintenance</p>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are maintenance agreements required? 	●	√	<p>Ordinance Findings: Yes Conservation District Checklist</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is maintenance required to be performed by a certified professional? 	●	√	<p>Ordinance Findings: No</p>

GOAL #7: MANAGE ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEMS TO MEET WATERSHED IMPROVEMENT PLAN & DNREC REGULATIONS

Note: Onsite Wastewater Treatment and Disposal provisions are still in draft form and may not be subject to this ordinance review.

GOAL #7 KEY QUESTIONS	DEGREE OF IMPORTANCE	REQUIRED BY DNREC	COMMENTS
Performance Standards			
<p>Where do local governments allow/encourage/ban standard systems versus community systems and how does that impact growth patterns</p>			<p>This question will likely not apply to towns with sewer. Most likely a county issue.</p> <p>Chapter 190 SEWERS article I §190-3: [Amended 4-11-2005 by Ord. No. A05-5]</p> <p>It shall be unlawful to construct or maintain any privy, privy vault, septic tank, cesspool or other facility intended or used for the disposal of sewage, except as hereinafter provided. The owners of all houses, buildings, and properties used for human occupation, recreation, employment or other purposes situated within the Town of Bridgeville are hereby required at their expense to install suitable toilet facilities therein and to connect such facilities directly with the property public sewer in accordance with the provisions of this article within 90 days after the date of official notice to do so.</p> <p>§190-5: When a public sanitary or combined sewer is not made available by the Town of Bridgeville, the building sewer shall be connected to a private sewage disposal system complying with the requirements of state and local regulatory agencies. No construction shall begin nor connection made until permission has been obtained from the Town of Bridgeville or its agents and state and local regulatory agencies.</p>